Case 3:19-cr-00279-CRB Document 6 Filed 07/08/19 Page 1 of 1 FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SELECT DIVISION

JUL	8-	2010
0		2019

	52520			SUSAN	
United States of	f America,)	Case No. 19-CR-279	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EXCLUDING TIME EXCLUDING TIME	
	Plaintiff,)	STIPULATED ORDER I		
٧.	•)	UNDER THE SPEEDY 1	RIAL ACI	
Jose Rodriquez	;)			
9	Defendant(s).)			
Trial Act from Tvly	by the parties on the record 8,2019 to July 11, the best interest of the publicurt makes this finding and b	2019 ic and the	and finds that the ends of j e defendant in a speedy tria	al. See 18 U.S.C. 9	
	to grant a continuance woul U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ld be like	ely to result in a miscarriag	e of justice.	
defende or law.	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).				
Failure taking	Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
counse	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
necess	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
disposi paragra the time extend	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).				
IT IS SO OR	DERED.				
DATED:	718119		GMD	<u> </u>	
			Thomas S. Hixson United States Magistrate	Judge	
	1 ~	_	1 1		

STIPULATED: _

Attorney for Defendant

v. 1/10/2019

Assistant United States Attorney